

SOLUTION TO VOLTERRA STOCHASTIC INTEGRAL EQUATIONS USING FUZZY FIXED-POINTS OF INTEGRAL TYPE CONTRACTIONS

USMAN SHEHZAD¹, HAITHAM QAWAQNEH^{2*}, SAMINA BATUL³, DUR-E-SHEHWAR
SAGHEER⁴ and HASSEN AYDI⁵

ABSTRACT. The main aim of this research work is first to establish the idea of integral type theta-contractions and then establish certain novel fuzzy fixed-point theorems for fuzzy mappings. The framework of b -metric spaces is used for this purpose. The results are demonstrated with adequate illustrations. Furthermore, an example is provided to justify the requirements of the proven results. Lastly, to reinforce the validity of our results, an application is provided that authenticates the existence of a solution to stochastic Volterra integral equations by employing the axioms of the theorem. These new findings will open the door for more study in this field and are unique in the literature on b -metric spaces.

Keywords. b -metric space; fuzzy mapping; fuzzy set; fuzzy Θ -type generalized almost contraction mapping;

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46L55; Secondary 44B20

1. INTRODUCTION

In mathematics, a fixed point ($\mathcal{FP}$) is a value that does not change under a given transformation. Fixed point theory has evolved into a rich and multifaceted field comprising principles and methods from topology, analysis, algebra, geometry, as well as discrete and computational mathematics. Metric fixed point theory originates with the concept of Picard successive approximations for establishing the existence and uniqueness of solutions to nonlinear initial value problems, optimization problems, and dynamic system stability studies. The Polish mathematician Stefan Banach [12] is credited with placing the underlying ideas into an abstract framework suitable for broad applications well beyond the scope of elementary differential and integral equations, see [3, 11, 17, 18, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 31, 32]. Another development is fixed points for set-valued operators developed towards the mid-20th century with the celebrated extensions of the Brouwer and Lefschetz theorems by Kakutani and

Date: Received: Jan 31, 2026; Revised: Feb 28, 2026; Accepted: Mar 8, 2026.

* Corresponding author

© The Author(s) 2026. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view a copy of the licence, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

Eilenberg-Montgomery, respectively. The Banach contraction principle was later extended to multi-valued contractions by Nadler [21].

The notion of a b -metric space ($\mathcal{BMS}$) is initially proposed by Bakhtin [13] in 1989. Czerwik [15] used this idea to establish a generalization of Banach contraction principle in such spaces by weakening the triangular property of metric spaces. In 1965, L. A. Zadeh [7] introduced fuzzy sets in his ground breaking work, which served as the basis for the fuzzy set ($\mathcal{FS}$) theory. One of the most significant advances in fuzzy set theory is the discovery of the fuzzy equivalents of Banach contraction principle. Nadler's result [21] on a metric space for multi-valued mappings ($\mathcal{MMs}$) is equivalent to Heilpern's $\mathcal{FP}$ theorem [16] for fuzzy mappings ($\mathcal{FM}s$). Recent research on fuzzy mappings on $\mathcal{BMS}$ by Batul et al. [2] can be seen for better understanding in this regard.

Branciari [5] established a few $\mathcal{FP}$ results for mappings that meet integral-type contractive criteria. Branciari introduced a self-mapping $\mathcal{S}$ on U that meets the following condition:

$$\int_0^{\tilde{\sigma}(\mathcal{S}(c_1), \mathcal{S}(c_2))} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \leq \lambda \int_0^{\tilde{\sigma}(c_1, c_2)} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma),$$

where $\Delta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is Lebesgue integrable and summable on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$ and $\int_0^t \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) > 0$ for each $t > 0$ with a metric space $(U, \tilde{\sigma})$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, and $c_1, c_2 \in U$.

This research is focused on the concept of fuzzy Θ -type generalized almost contraction mappings ($\mathcal{F}_\Theta GAC$). The main objective is to use $\mathcal{F}_\Theta GAC$ in order to generalize the result of Kanwal et al. [30].

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. Let Ξ be the class of functions $\Delta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ so that:

- (i): Δ is a Lebesgue integrable function and summable on each compact subset of $[0, \infty)$;
- (ii): $\int_0^t \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) > 0$ for each $t > 0$.
- (iii): Δ is non-increasing on $[0, \infty)$.

Definition 2.2. [20] A mapping Λ is called an $\mathcal{FM}$ mapping if Λ maps U into $F(U)$.

For a number $\alpha_F \in (0, 1]$, an alpha-level set of a fuzzy set F is defined as

$$[F]_{\alpha_F} = \left\{ c \in U : F(c) \geq \alpha_F \right\}, \text{ if } \alpha_F \in (0, 1],$$

$$[F]_0 = \left\{ c \in U : F(c) > 0 \right\},$$

where $\overline{A}$ means the closure of the set A .

Definition 2.3. [6] Let $(U, \tilde{\sigma}_b)$ be a complete $\mathcal{BMS}$ and $\mathfrak{R}, \Gamma$ be the pair of $\mathcal{FM}s$. Let $\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(f)}$ and $\alpha_{\Gamma(f)} \in (0, 1]$. Then, $f \in U$ is said to be a common α -fuzzy $\mathcal{FP}$ if

$f \in [\mathfrak{R}(f)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(f)}} \cap [\Gamma(f)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(f)}}$, where $[\mathfrak{R}(f)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(f)}}$ and $[\Gamma(f)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(f)}}$ are the alpha-level sets of fuzzy sets $\mathfrak{R}(f)$ and $\Gamma(f)$, respectively.

Recently, Samet et al. [29] introduced a new type of contractions, which is called the Θ -contractions and established some new $\mathcal{FP}$ results.

Definition 2.4. Consider a mapping $\Theta : (0, \infty) \longrightarrow (1, \infty)$ so that:

- (θ_1): Θ is nondecreasing;
- (θ_2): for any sequence $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, \infty)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta(\alpha_n) = 1$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0^+$;
- (θ_3): there exist $k \in (0, 1)$ and $l \in (0, \infty]$ so that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\Theta(\alpha) - 1}{\alpha^k} = l$.

A function $\mathcal{S} : (U) \longrightarrow U$ is known as a Θ contraction if there is Θ that satisfies the conditions (θ_1) – (θ_3) and a number $k \in (0, 1)$ so that for all $c_1, c_2 \in U$, $\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathcal{S}c_1, \mathcal{S}c_2) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathcal{S}c_1, \mathcal{S}c_2)) \leq [\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, c_2))]^k$.

Later on, motivated by the idea of Samet et al. [29], Ameer et al. [1] presented the following definition:

Definition 2.5. Let $s \geq 1$. Denote θ_s the set of all functions $\Theta : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, which fulfills the following conditions:

- (θ_{1s}): Θ is non-decreasing;
- (θ_{2s}): for each sequence $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Theta(t_n) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = 0^+$;
- (θ_{3s}): there exist $k \in (0, 1)$ and $l \in (0, \infty]$ so that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\Theta(t) - 1}{t^k} = l$;
- (θ_{4s}): for each sequence $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$, such that $\Theta(st_n) \leq [\Theta(t_{n-1})]^k \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\Theta(s^n t_n) \leq [\Theta(s^{n-1} t_{n-1})]^k$ for some $k \in (0, 1)$ and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.6. [8] Let $\mathcal{CB}(U)$ be the family of closed and bounded subsets of the $\mathcal{BMS}(U, \tilde{\sigma}_b)$. For $c_1 \in U$ and $\mathcal{S}, T \in \mathcal{CB}(U)$, set

$$\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, T) = \inf_{c_2 \in T} (\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, c_2)).$$

Define $H : \mathcal{CB}(U) \times \mathcal{CB}(U) \longrightarrow [0, +\infty)$ as

$$H(S, T) = \max \left\{ \sup_{c_1 \in S} \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, T), \sup_{c_2 \in T} \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_2, S) \right\},$$

then, $(\mathcal{CB}(U), H)$ is named as a Pompeiu-Hausdorff metric space.

Lemma 2.7. [10] Every sequence $\{c_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements from a $\mathcal{BMS}(U, \tilde{\sigma}_b)$ satisfying the property

$$\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{n+1}, c_n) \leq K \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_n, c_{n-1}), \quad \forall K \in [0, 1),$$

is a Cauchy sequence.

Lemma 2.8. [8] Let $(U, \tilde{\sigma}_b)$ to be a complete $\mathcal{BMS}$. For $\mathcal{S}, T \in \mathcal{CB}(U)$, $s \geq 0$ and $v \in S$, take

$$\tilde{\sigma}_b(v, T) \leq sH(\mathcal{S}, T).$$

Definition 2.9. [19] Let ρ be the set of strictly increasing functions in a $\mathcal{BMS}$, $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

(ρ_1) : $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n \varphi^n < +\infty$, where φ^n denotes the n th iterate of φ ;
 (ρ_2) : $\varphi(t) < t \ \forall \ t > 0$ and $\varphi(0) = 0 \ \forall \ t = 0$. Then, it is called a comparison function on $\mathcal{BMS}$.

Lemma 2.10. [4] *If $\{P_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0, \infty)$ and $\psi \in \Xi$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{P_n} \psi(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) = 0 \Leftrightarrow P_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma 2.11. [9] *Let $(U, \tilde{\sigma}_b)$ be a complete $\mathcal{BMS}$. For $S, T \in \mathcal{CB}(U)$ and $v \in S$, then, for any $w \in T$,*

$$\tilde{\sigma}_b(v, T) \leq \tilde{\sigma}_b(v, w).$$

In 2016, Anita et al. [14] proposed the following result in the context of a $\mathcal{FM}$ as follows:

Theorem 2.12. *Assume $(U, \tilde{\sigma}_b)$ to be a complete $\mathcal{BMS}$, and let the $\mathcal{FM} \mathfrak{R} : U \rightarrow F(U)$, such that $\forall \ c_1, c_2 \in U$ and $\exists \ \varphi \in \rho$,*

$$H([\mathfrak{R}(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}}(c_1)}, [\mathfrak{R}(c_2)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}}(c_2)}) \leq \varphi(\Pi(c_1, c_2)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(c_1, c_2) = \max \Big\{ & \tilde{\sigma}(c_1, c_2), \tilde{\sigma}(c_1, [\mathfrak{R}(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}}(c_1)}), \tilde{\sigma}(c_2, [\mathfrak{R}(c_2)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}}(c_2)}), \\ & \frac{\{\tilde{\sigma}(c_1, [\mathfrak{R}(c_2)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}}(c_2)}) + \tilde{\sigma}(c_2, [\mathfrak{R}(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}}(c_1)})\}}{2s} \Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $[\mathfrak{R}(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}}(c_1)} \in \mathcal{CB}(U)$ is non-empty and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}}(c_1) \in (0, 1]$. Then, $\mathfrak{R}$ has an α -fuzzy $\mathcal{FP}$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout the article, $\tilde{\sigma}_b$ is considered as a complete $\mathcal{BMS}$. Motivated by the ideas of Anita et al. [14] and Kanwal et al. [30], we will introduce fuzzy Θ -type generalized almost contraction mappings ($\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}GAC$) in $\mathcal{BMS}$ as follows:

Definition 3.1. Suppose that $(U, \tilde{\sigma}_b)$ is a complete $\mathcal{BMS}$ with $s \geq 1$, then the pair $\mathfrak{R}, \Gamma : U \rightarrow F(U)$ is said to be $\mathcal{F}_{\Theta}GAC$ if $\forall \ c_1, c_2 \in U$, $[\Gamma(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma}(c_1)}, [\mathfrak{R}(c_2)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}}(c_2)} \in \mathcal{CB}(U)$ are non-empty and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}}(c_1), \alpha_{\Gamma}(c_2) \in (0, 1]$, such that

$$H([\mathfrak{R}(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}}(c_1)}, [\Gamma(c_2)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma}(c_2)}) > 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{[\Theta(sH([\mathfrak{R}(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}}(c_1)}, [\Gamma(c_2)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma}(c_2)}))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d\varsigma \\ & \leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\Pi(c_1, c_2))]^{k+L\mathfrak{D}(c_1, c_2)}} \Delta(\varsigma) d\varsigma \\ & \leq \int_0^{\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, c_2)} \Delta(\varsigma) d\varsigma. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\Theta \in \theta_s, L \geq 0$,

$$\Pi(c_1, c_2) = \max \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, c_2), \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, [\mathfrak{R}(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_1)}}), \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_2, [\Gamma(c_2)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_2)}}), \right. \\ \left. \frac{\{\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, [\Gamma(c_2)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_2)}}) + \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_2, [\mathfrak{R}(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_1)}})\}}{2s} \right\},$$

and

$$\mathfrak{D}(c_1, c_2) = \min \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, [\mathfrak{R}(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_1)}}), \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_2, [\Gamma(c_2)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_2)}}), \right. \\ \left. \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, [\Gamma(c_2)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_2)}}), \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_2, [\mathfrak{R}(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_1)}}) \right\}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(U, \tilde{\sigma}_b)$ be a complete $\mathcal{BMS}$ with $s \geq 1$ and $\mathfrak{R}, \Gamma$ be the pair of $\mathcal{F}_\Theta GAC$. Then, there is $c^* \in U$ such that $c^* \in [\mathfrak{R}(f)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(f)}} \cap [\Gamma(f)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(f)}}$.*

Proof. Let $c_0 \in U$. By hypothesis, there is $\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_0)} \in (0, 1]$ such that $[\mathfrak{R}(c_0)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_0)}}$ is non-empty in $CB(U)$. Let $c_1 \in [\mathfrak{R}(c_0)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_0)}}$. There is $\alpha_{\Gamma(c_1)} \in (0, 1]$ such that $[\Gamma(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_1)}}$ is non-empty in $CB(U)$. By using Lemma 2.8 and Definition 3.1,

$$[\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, [\Gamma(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_1)}}))] \leq [\Theta(\mathfrak{H}([\mathfrak{R}(c_0)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_0)}}, [\Gamma(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_1)}}))].$$

That is,

$$\int_0^{[\Theta(s\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, [\Gamma(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_1)}}))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \leq \int_0^{[\Theta(s\mathfrak{H}([\mathfrak{R}(c_0)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_0)}}, [\Gamma(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_1)}}))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \\ \leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\Pi(c_0, c_1))]^k + L\mathfrak{D}(c_0, c_1)} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma). \quad (3.2)$$

Recall that

$$\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, [\Gamma(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_1)}})) = \inf_{f_1 \in [\Gamma(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_1)}}} \Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, f_1)).$$

Thus,

$$\inf_{f_1 \in [\Gamma(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_1)}}} [\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, f_1))] \leq [\Theta(\Pi(c_0, c_1))]^k + L\mathfrak{D}(c_0, c_1).$$

Now, there is $c_2 \in [\Gamma(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_1)}}$. So, (3.2) becomes

$$\int_0^{[\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, c_2))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\Pi(c_0, c_1))]^k + L\mathfrak{D}(c_0, c_1)} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma). \quad (3.3)$$

Now,

$$\Pi(c_0, c_1) \leq \max \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_0, c_1), \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_0, c_1), \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, c_2), \right. \\ \left. \frac{\{\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_0, c_2) + \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, c_1)\}}{2s} \right\}.$$

Then

$$\Pi(c_0, c_1) \leq \max \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_0, c_1), \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, c_2) \right\}.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_1) &= \min \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_1), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_2), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_1) \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_1) &= \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_1) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

If we take

$$\max \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_1), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) \right\} = \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2),$$

then (3.3) becomes

$$\int_0^{[\Theta(s\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2))]^k} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma).$$

It is a contradiction.

Thus,

$$\max \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_1), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) \right\} = \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_1).$$

So, (3.3) becomes

$$\int_0^{[\Theta(s\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_1))]^k} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma).$$

Now, there is $\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_2)} \in (0, 1]$ such that $[\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_2)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_2)}}$ is non-empty, and $\in \mathbf{CB}(\mathbf{U})$. By Lemma 2.8 and contraction condition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{[\Theta(s\tilde{\sigma}_b([\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_2)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_2)}}, \mathbf{c}_2))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) &\leq \int_0^{[\Theta(s\mathbf{H}([\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_2)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_2)}}, [\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_1)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_1})}))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \\ &\leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\Pi(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2))]^k + L\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2)} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma).\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

One writes

$$[\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, [\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_2)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_2)}}))] = \inf_{\mathbf{f}_2 \in [\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_2)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_2)}}} \Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{f}_2)).$$

Thus,

$$\inf_{\mathbf{f}_2 \in [\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_2)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_2)}}} \Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{f}_2)) \leq [\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2))]^k + L\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2).$$

Now, there is $\mathbf{c}_3 \in [\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_2)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_2)}}$, such that (3.4) becomes

$$\int_0^{[\Theta(s\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_3))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\Pi(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2))]^k + L\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2)} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma).\tag{3.5}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) &\leq \max \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_3), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\{\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_3) + \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_2)\}}{2s} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\Pi(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) = \max \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_3) \right\}.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) &= \min \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_3), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_2), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_3) \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) &= \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_2) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

By taking

$$\max \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_3) \right\} = \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_3).$$

the inequality (3.5) becomes

$$\int_0^{[\Theta(s\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_3))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_3))]^k} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma).$$

It is a contradiction. Thus,

$$\max \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_3) \right\} = \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2).$$

So, (3.5) implies that

$$\int_0^{[\Theta(s\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_3))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2))]^k} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma).$$

Following this process, we construct a sequence $\{\mathbf{c}_n\} \in \mathbf{U}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbf{c}_{2n+1} \in [\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_{2n})]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_{2n})}}$, and $\mathbf{c}_{2n+2} \in [\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_{2n+1})]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_{2n+1})}}$ in order that

$$\int_0^{[\Theta(s\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_{2n+1}, \mathbf{c}_{2n+2}))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_{2n}, \mathbf{c}_{2n+1}))]^k} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma). \quad (3.6)$$

Also,

$$\int_0^{[\Theta(s\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_{2n+2}, \mathbf{c}_{2n+3}))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_{2n+1}, \mathbf{c}_{2n+2}))]^k} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma). \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.6) and (3.7) and by using θ_{4s} , one writes

$$\int_0^{[\Theta(s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1}))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \leq \int_0^{[\Theta(s^{n-1} \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_{n-1}, \mathbf{c}_n))]^k} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma). \quad (3.8)$$

This is extended as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{[\Theta(s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1}))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) &\leq \int_0^{[\Theta(s^{n-1} \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_{n-1}, \mathbf{c}_n))]^k} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \\ &\leq \int_0^{[\Theta(s^{n-2} \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_{n-2}, \mathbf{c}_{n-1}))]^{k^2}} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \\ &\leq \int_0^{[\Theta(s^{n-3} \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_{n-3}, \mathbf{c}_{n-2}))]^{k^3}} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \\ &\dots \\ &\leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_1))]^{k^n}} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Theta \in \theta_s$, by taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\Theta(s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1}))] = 1.$$

Due to (θ_{2s}) , we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1}) = 0^+.$$

Also, in view (θ_{3s}) , there are $\mathbf{p} \in (0, 1)$ and $\mathbf{r} \in (0, \infty]$ so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Theta(s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1})) - 1}{(s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1}))^{\mathbf{p}}} = \mathbf{r}.$$

Case 1. Let $\mathbf{r} < \infty$ and $\mathcal{C}_1 > 0$. Hence, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n > n_0$,

$$\left| \frac{\Theta(s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1})) - 1}{(s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1}))^{\mathbf{p}}} - \mathbf{r} \right| \leq \mathcal{C}_1.$$

That is,

$$\frac{\Theta(s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1})) - 1}{(s^n \varphi^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1}))^{\mathbf{p}}} \geq \mathbf{r} - \mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_1.$$

Then,

$$n[s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1})]^{\mathbf{p}} \leq \frac{n}{\mathcal{C}_1} [\Theta(s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1})) - 1].$$

Case 2. Let $\mathbf{r} = \infty$ and $\mathcal{C}_1 > 0$. Then, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$,

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \leq \frac{\Theta(s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1})) - 1}{(s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1}))^{\mathbf{p}}},$$

This gives us

$$n[s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1})]^{\mathbf{p}} \leq \frac{n}{\mathcal{C}_1} [\Theta(s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1})) - 1].$$

In both cases, $\frac{1}{\mathcal{C}_1} > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for all $n > n_0$,

$$n[s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1})]^{\mathbf{p}} \leq \frac{n}{\mathcal{C}_1} ([\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1}))] - 1).$$

Now, we have

$$n[s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1})]^{\mathbf{p}} \leq \frac{n}{\mathcal{C}_1} ([\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_1))]^{k^n} - 1).$$

Since $\Theta \in \theta_s$, by taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n[s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1})]^{\mathbf{p}} = 0.$$

There is an integer n_1 so that for all $n > n_1$,

$$n[s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1})]^{\mathbf{p}} \leq 1.$$

This implies that

$$s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}}}$$

$$\int_0^{[s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_n, \mathbf{c}_{n+1})]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \leq \int_0^{n^{\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}}}} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma).$$

Now, we prove $\{c_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > n > n_0$. By using triangular inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_n, c_m) &\leq s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_n, c_{n+1}) + s^{n+1} \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{n+1}, c_{n+2}) + s^{n+2} \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{n+2}, c_{n+3}) + \\ &\quad \dots + s^{m-1} \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{m-1}, c_m). \end{aligned}$$

From above, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_n, c_m)} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) &\leq \left[\int_0^{s^n \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_n, c_{n+1})} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) + \int_0^{s^{n+1} \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{n+1}, c_{n+2})} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \int_0^{s^{n+2} \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{n+2}, c_{n+3})} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) + \dots + \int_0^{s^{m-1} \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{m-1}, c_m)} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_n, c_m)} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \int_0^{s^i \varphi^i \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_n, c_{n+1})} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}}} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}}} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma). \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < p < 1$, the series $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \int_0^{\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}}} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma)$ converges. When $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_n, c_m) \rightarrow 0$. So, it is clear that $\{c_n\} \in U$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(U, \tilde{\sigma}_b)$. By completeness there is $c^* \ni \{c_n\} \rightarrow c^*$. Next, we claim that $\{c^*\} \in [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}$. Assume, on the contrary, that c^* does not belong to $[\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}$ (that is, $\tilde{\sigma}_b(c^*, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}) > 0$), then there are $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a subsequence $\{c_{n_k}\}$ of c_n so that $\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{2n_k+1}, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}) > 0$, for all $n_k \geq n_0$. Since $\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{2n_k+1}, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}) > 0$, we have

$$[\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{2n_k+1}, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}))] \leq [\Theta(H([\mathfrak{R}(c_{2n_k})]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_{2n_k})}}, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}))]. \quad (3.9)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{[\Theta(s\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{2n_k+1}, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) &\leq \int_0^{[\Theta(sH([\mathfrak{R}(c_{2n_k})]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_{2n_k})}}, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \\ &\leq \int_0^{[\Theta(s\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{2n_k+1}, c^*))]^k} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma). \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi(c_{2n_k}, c^*) &= \max \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{2n_k}, c^*), \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{2n_k}, [\mathfrak{R}(c_{2n_k})]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_{2n_k})}}), \tilde{\sigma}_b(c^*, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{\{\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{2n_k}, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}) + \tilde{\sigma}_b(c^*, [\mathfrak{R}(c_{2n_k})]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_{2n_k})}})\}}{2s} \right\}. \\
&\leq \max \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{2n_k}, c^*), \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{2n_k}, c_{2n_k+1}), \tilde{\sigma}_b(c^*, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{\{\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{2n_k}, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}) + \tilde{\sigma}_b(c^*, c_{2n_k+1})\}}{2s} \right\}. \\
\mathfrak{D}(c_{2n_k}, c^*) &= \min \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{2n_k}, [\mathfrak{R}(c_{2n_k})]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_{2n_k})}}), \tilde{\sigma}_b(c^*, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{2n_k}, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}) + \tilde{\sigma}_b(c^*, [\mathfrak{R}(c_{2n_k})]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_{2n_k})}}) \right\}. \\
&\leq \min \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{2n_k}, c_{2n_k+1}), \tilde{\sigma}_b(c^*, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \tilde{\sigma}_b(c_{2n_k}, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}) + \tilde{\sigma}_b(c^*, c_{2n_k+1}) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using the continuity of Θ , the equation (3.10) becomes

$$\int_0^{[\Theta(s\tilde{\sigma}_b(c^*, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(c^*, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}))]^k} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma).$$

This leads to a contradiction. Hence, $\tilde{\sigma}_b(c^*, [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}) = 0$, and $c^* \in [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}}$. Similarly, one can easily prove that $c^* \in [\mathfrak{R}(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c^*)}}$. Therefore, $c^* \in [\Gamma(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c^*)}} \cap [\mathfrak{R}(c^*)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c^*)}}$. $\square$

Remark 3.3. To ensure the mathematical correctness of Theorem 3.3, we assume that the function $\Delta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is non-increasing in addition to being Lebesgue integrable. This guarantees that the function $F(x) = \int_0^x \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma)$ is subadditive, which is essential for the Cauchy sequence argument.

Moreover, the use of Θ in the integral upper limit combines the Θ -contraction mechanism with a Branciari-type integral contraction. While unconventional, we adopt this construction under the above assumption on Δ to maintain the contractive property and ensure convergence of the sequence $\{c_n\}$.

Example 3.4. Let $\mathcal{U} = [0, 1]$ be defined $\tilde{\sigma}_b : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, c_2) = |c_1 - c_2|^2$, then $(\mathcal{U}, \tilde{\sigma}_b)$ is a complete $\mathcal{BMS}$ with $s = 2$. Define $\mathfrak{R}, \Gamma : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow F(\mathcal{U})$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{R}(c_1)t &= \begin{cases} \alpha, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{c_1}{15}, \\ \frac{\alpha}{15}, & \text{if } \frac{c_1}{15} \leq t \leq \frac{c_1}{10}, \\ \frac{\alpha}{10}, & \text{if } \frac{c_1}{10} \leq t \leq \frac{c_1}{5}, \\ \frac{\alpha}{5}, & \text{if } \frac{c_1}{5} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases} \\
\Gamma(c_2)t &= \begin{cases} \alpha, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{c_2}{30}, \\ \frac{\alpha}{30}, & \text{if } \frac{c_2}{30} \leq t \leq \frac{c_2}{20}, \\ \frac{\alpha}{20}, & \text{if } \frac{c_2}{20} \leq t \leq \frac{c_2}{10}, \\ \frac{\alpha}{10}, & \text{if } \frac{c_2}{10} \leq t \leq 1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for α_Γ and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}} = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)]_\alpha &= [0, \frac{\mathbf{c}_1}{15}], \\ [\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)]_\alpha &= [0, \frac{\mathbf{c}_2}{30}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H([\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)}}, [\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)}}) = |\frac{\mathbf{c}_1}{15} - \frac{\mathbf{c}_2}{30}|^2 \geq 0$ for $\mathbf{c}_1 \neq \mathbf{c}_2$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) &= \max \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, [\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)}}), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, [\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)}}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\{\tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, [\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)}}) + \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, [\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)}})\}}{2s} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) &= \max \left\{ |\mathbf{c}_1 - \mathbf{c}_2|^2, |\mathbf{c}_1 - \frac{\mathbf{c}_1}{15}|^2, |\mathbf{c}_2 - \frac{\mathbf{c}_2}{30}|^2, \frac{\{|\mathbf{c}_1 - \frac{\mathbf{c}_2}{30}|^2 + |\mathbf{c}_2 - \frac{\mathbf{c}_1}{15}|^2\}}{5} \right\}, \\ &\leq |\mathbf{c}_1 - \mathbf{c}_2|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) &= \min \left\{ \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, [\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)}}), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, [\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)}}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_1, [\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)}}), \tilde{\sigma}_b(\mathbf{c}_2, [\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)}}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) &= \min \left\{ |\mathbf{c}_1 - \frac{\mathbf{c}_1}{15}|^2, |\mathbf{c}_2 - \frac{\mathbf{c}_2}{30}|^2, |\mathbf{c}_1 - \frac{\mathbf{c}_2}{30}|^2, |\mathbf{c}_2 - \frac{\mathbf{c}_1}{15}|^2 \right\} \\ &\leq |\frac{14}{15}\mathbf{c}_1|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\Theta(t) = 2^{\frac{k}{\sqrt{t}}}$, for some $k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{[\Theta(sH([\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)}}, [\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)}}))] \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma)} &\leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\Pi(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2))]^k + L\mathfrak{D}(\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2)} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma), \quad (3.11) \\ \Rightarrow \int_0^{[\Theta(s(|\frac{\mathbf{c}_1}{15} - \frac{\mathbf{c}_2}{30}|^2))] \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma)} &\leq \int_0^{[\Theta(|\mathbf{c}_1 - \mathbf{c}_2|^2)]^k + |\frac{14}{15}\mathbf{c}_1|^2} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma), \\ &\leq \int_0^{[\Theta(|\mathbf{c}_1 - \mathbf{c}_2|^2)]^k} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma), \end{aligned}$$

All assumptions of Theorem 3.2 with $L = 1$ are satisfied, and 0 is the $\mathcal{FP}$ of $\mathfrak{R}$ and Γ .

If we consider $L = 0$ in Definition (3.1), then we have the following:

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(\mathbf{U}, \tilde{\sigma}_b)$ be a complete $\mathcal{BMS}$ and let $\mathfrak{R}, \Gamma$ be the pair of $\mathcal{FM}$ s such that for each $\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2 \in \mathbf{U}$ then $\exists \alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)}, \alpha_{\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)} \in (0, 1]$ such that $[\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)}}, [\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)}} \in \mathcal{CB}(\mathbf{U})$ are non-empty, and $\forall \mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2 \in \mathbf{U}$, $H([\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(\mathbf{c}_1)}}, [\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(\mathbf{c}_2)}}) > 0$,*

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^{[\Theta(sH([\mathfrak{R}(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_1)}}, [\Gamma(c_2)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_2)}}))] } \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\Pi(c_1, c_2))]^k} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma), \quad (3.12)$$

where $\Theta \in \theta_s$ and $\Pi(c_1, c_2)$ is the same as in Definition 3.1. Then, there is a common α -fuzzy $\mathcal{FP}$ of $\mathfrak{R}$ and Γ .

Remark 3.6. The following consequences follow from Theorem 3.2:

- (1) By taking $\Delta(\varsigma) = 1$ in Definition 3.1, Theorem 3.2 reduces to the Θ -type contraction case and guarantees the existence of a common fuzzy $\mathcal{FP}$ in $\mathcal{U}$.
- (2) By setting $\Gamma = \mathfrak{R}$ in Theorem 3.2, we obtain the existence of a fuzzy $\mathcal{FP}$ of the mapping $\mathfrak{R}$ in $\mathcal{U}$.
- (3) By choosing $L = 0$, the contraction condition simplifies to a pure Θ -contraction, and the existence of the corresponding fuzzy $\mathcal{FP}$ still holds.

Remark 3.7. If we take $s = 1$, $\Pi = \tilde{\sigma}(c_1, c_2)$ and $L = 0$, then the results of Kanwal et al. [30] become a special case of our results.

4. APPLICATION

In this section, we will find out the solution of the Volterra stochastic integral equation system. If $\mathcal{U}$ is a non-empty set, $\mathbf{A}$ is an ξ -algebra of subsets of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ is a complete probability measure of $\mathbf{A}$, then denote by $(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{P})$ a probability measure space. Let $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$. The expression $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{L}_2(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{P}))$ is used to represent the space of all continuous and bounded functions on $\mathbb{R}^+$ with values in $\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_2(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{P})$. Consider the following system of Volterra stochastic integral equations:

$$c_1(t, \mu) = \int_0^t K_1(t, p, \mu) f(p, c_1(p, \mu)) dp + \beta(t, \mu), \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$c_2(t, \mu) = \int_0^t K_2(t, p, \mu) f(p, c_1(p, \mu)) dp + \beta(t, \mu), \quad (4.2)$$

where $t \geq 0$, μ is a point of the non-empty set $\mathcal{U}$, $\beta(t, \mu)$ is the stochastic free term, $c_1(t, \mu)$ are unknown stochastic variables, K_1, K_2 are stochastic kernels defined on $0 \leq p \leq t < \infty$, and f is a scalar function defined for $t \geq 0$.

A function that belongs to $\mathbf{C}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{L}_2(\mathcal{U}, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{P}))$ and satisfies (4.1) and (4.2) is referred to a random solution.

Define $\mathcal{BMS}$ by

$$\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, c_2) = \max_{t \in [0, t]} |c_1(t) - c_2(t)|^2,$$

$\forall c_1, c_2 \in \mathbf{C}[0, t]$. Then, $(\mathbf{C}[0, t], \tilde{\sigma}_b)$ is a complete $\mathcal{BMS}$ with $s = 2$.

Theorem 4.1. Assume the following assumptions:

(i): $f : \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$, $\beta : \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$, $K_1, K_2 : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathcal{L}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_2$ are continuous.

(ii): $\forall K_1, K_2 \in \mathbf{K}$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. we have

$$\|K_1(t, p, \mu) - K_2(t, p, \mu)\| \leq (\lambda)K$$

(iii):

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t, c_1(t, \mu)) - f(t, c_2(t, \mu))\| &\leq \|c_1(t, \mu) - c_2(t, \mu)\| \\ &\leq \max_{t \in [0, t]} |c_1(t, \mu) - c_2(t, \mu)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Then, the integral equations (4.1) and (4.2) have a common solution in $C[0, t]$.

Proof. Consider $U = C = C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{L}_2(\mathcal{U}, A, P))$ to be endowed with the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|$. Then, $(U, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space. Let $\mathcal{P}_{(c_1)}, E_{(c_1)} \in U$, where

$$\mathcal{P}_{(c_1)} = \int_0^t K_1(t, p, \mu) f(p, c_1(p, \mu)) dp + \beta(t, \mu),$$

$$E_{(c_1)} = \int_0^t K_2(t, p, \mu) f(p, c_2(p, \mu)) dp + \beta(t, \mu).$$

Given two arbitrary mappings $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} : U \rightarrow (0, 1]$ of $\mathcal{FM}$, and the pair $\Gamma, \mathfrak{R} : U \rightarrow F(U)$ be given as

$$\Gamma(c_1)(t) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{A}(c_1), & \text{if } c_1(t) = \mathcal{P}_{(c_1)}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Also,

$$\mathfrak{R}(c_1)(t) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{B}(c_1), & \text{if } c_1(t) = E_{(c_1)}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If we take $\alpha_{\Gamma(c_1)} = \mathcal{A}(c_1)$ and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_1)} = \mathcal{B}(c_1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\Gamma(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_1)}} &= \left\{ \mathfrak{R} : \Gamma(c_1)(\mathfrak{R}) \geq \mathcal{A}(c_1) \right\} = \mathcal{P}_{(c_1)}. \\ [\mathfrak{R}(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_1)}} &= \left\{ \mathfrak{R} : \mathfrak{R}(c_1)(\mathfrak{R}) \geq \mathcal{B}(c_1) \right\} = E_{(c_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, $H([\Gamma(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_1)}}, [\mathfrak{R}(c_2)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_2)}}) = \|\mathcal{P}_{(c_1)} - E_{(c_1)}\|$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{[\Theta(s\mathcal{H}([\Gamma(c_1)]_{\alpha_{\Gamma(c_1)}}, [\mathfrak{R}(c_2)]_{\alpha_{\mathfrak{R}(c_2)}}))]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \\
&= \int_0^{[\Theta(\|\mathcal{P}(c_1) - \mathbf{E}(c_1)\|)]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \\
&= \int_0^{[\Theta(\|(\int_0^t K_1(t, p, \mu) f(p, c_1(p, \mu)) dp + \beta(t, \mu)) - (\int_0^t K_2(t, p, \mu) f(p, c_1(p, \mu)) dp + \beta(t, \mu))\|)]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma). \\
&\leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\|\int_0^t K_1(t, p, \mu) dp - \int_0^t K_2(t, p, \mu) dp\| \|f(p, c_1(p, \mu)) - f(p, c_2(p, \mu))\|)]} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \\
&\leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\lambda K \|c_1(t, \mu) - c_2(t, \mu)\|)]^{\frac{1}{v}}} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \\
&\leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\|c_1(t, \mu) - c_2(t, \mu)\|)]^{\frac{1}{v}}} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \\
&\leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\max_{t \in [0, a]} |c_1(t) - c_2(t)|^2)]^{\frac{1}{v}}} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma) \\
&\leq \int_0^{[\Theta(\tilde{\sigma}_b(c_1, c_2))]^{\frac{1}{v}}} \Delta(\varsigma) d(\varsigma),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{v} = k \in (0, 1)$. So, all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. Hence, the integral equations (4.1) and (4.2) have a common solution. $\square$

5. CONCLUSION

In this work, we established the existence of common fixed points $\mathcal{FP}$ s for a pair of $\mathcal{FM}$ s and multi-valued mappings within the framework of a complete $\mathcal{BMS}$. A generalized integral-type contraction is employed to achieve the required goal. An example is also constructed, which authenticates the axioms of the proven result. In addition, we applied the idea of $\mathcal{FM}$ to prove the existence of a solution to a set of stochastic Volterra integral equations.

Data Availability. The data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding. This work does not receive any external funding.

Authors' Contributions. All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper.

Acknowledgments. We acknowledge the support of this research from Al-Zaytoonah University.

REFERENCES

1. Ameer, E., Aydi, H., Arshad, M., Hussain, A., Khan, A. R. (2021). Ciric type multi-valued α_* - η_* - θ -contractions on b-metric spaces with applications. *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, 12(1), 597-614. <https://doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2021.4865>
2. Batul, S., Sagheer, D. E. S., Anwar, M., Aydi, H., Parvaneh, V. (2022). Fuzzy Fixed Point Results of Fuzzy Mappings on b-Metric Spaces via (α^*, F) -Contractions. *Advances in Mathematical Physics*, 2022(1), 4511632. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/4511632>
3. Judeh, A., Abu Hammad, M. (2022), Applications of Conformable Fractional Pareto Probability Distribution, *International journal of advances in soft computing and its applications*, 14(2), 115-124. <https://doi.org/10.15849/IJASCA.220720.08>
4. Liu, Z., Li, X., Kang, S. M., Cho, S. Y. (2011). Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying contractive conditions of integral type and applications. *Fixed point theory and Applications*, 2011(1), 64. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2011-64>
5. Branciari, A. (2002). A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 29(9), 531-536. <https://doi.org/10.1155/S0161171202007524>
6. Akbar Azam, M. A., Beg, I. (2011). Common fixed point of fuzzy mappings under a contraction condition. *International Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 13(4), 383. <https://doi.org/10.1007/40815-011-0046-9>
7. Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and control*, 8(3), 338-353. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(65\)90241-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X)
8. Czerwik, S. (1998). Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces. *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, 46, 263-276.
9. Aydi, H., Ansari, A., Moeini, B., Noorani, M., Qawaqneh H. (2019). Property Q on G-metric spaces via C-class functions. *Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, 14(3), 675-692. <https://future-in-tech.net/14.3/R-Haitham.pdf>
10. Miculescu, R., Mihail, A. (2017). New fixed point theorems for set-valued contractions in b-metric spaces. *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 19(3), 2153-2163. [DOI10.1007/s11784-016-0400-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-016-0400-2)
11. Meneceur, M., Qawaqneh, H., Alsamir, H., Al-Musannef, G. (2024), Common Fixed Point of Generalized Berinde Type Contraction and an Application. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 17(4), 3093-3108. [DOI10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v17i4.5466](https://doi.org/10.29020/nybg.ejpam.v17i4.5466)
12. Banach, S. (1922). Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales. *Fundamenta mathematicae*, 3(1), 133-181. <https://doi.org/10.4064/fm-3-1-133-181>
13. Bakhtin, I. (1989). The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces. *Functional analysis*, 30, 26-37. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01078373>
14. Alamgir, N., Kiran, Q., Aydi, H., Gaba, Y. U. (2021). Research Article On Multivalued Fuzzy Contractions in Extended b-Metric Spaces. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5579991>
15. Czerwik, S. (1993). Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces. *Acta mathematica et informatica universitatis ostraviensis*, 1(1), 5-11. <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/120469>
16. Heilpern, S. (1981). Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorem. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 83(2), 566-569. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X\(81\)90141-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-247X(81)90141-4)
17. Elbes, M., Kanan, T., Alia, M., Ziad, M. (2022), COVID-19 detection platform from X-ray images using deep learning, *International Journal of Advances in Soft Computing and its Applications*, 14(1), 2022. <https://doi.org/10.15849/IJASCA.220328.13>
18. Kanan, T., Elbes, M., Abu Maria K., Alia, M. (2023), Exploring the Potential of IoT-Based Learning Environments in Education, *International Journal of Advances in Soft Computing and its Applications*, 15(2). <https://doi.org/10.15849/IJASCA.230720.11>

19. Phiangsungnoen, S., Kumam, P. (2015). Fuzzy fixed point theorems for multivalued fuzzy contractions in b-metric spaces. J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl, 8(1), 55-63. <http://dx.doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.008.01.07>
20. Mitsuishi, T., Endou, N., Shidama, Y. (2001). The concept of fuzzy set and membership function and basic properties of fuzzy set operation. Formalized mathematics, 9(2), 351-356.
21. Nadler Jr, S. B. (1969). Multi-valued contraction mappings. <https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1969.30.475>
22. Qawaqneh, H., Md Noorani, M. S., Aydi, H., Shatanawi, W., (2019). On Common Fixed Point Results for New Contractions with Applications to Graph and Integral Equations. Mathematics, 7(11), 1082. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/math7111082>
23. Qawaqneh, H., Aydi, H. (2025). Fixed Points of Contraction Mappings Involving a Simulation Function and Applications. Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 15(4), 1-13. <http://dx.doi.org/10.54379/jma-2025-4-1>
24. Qawaqneh, H., Noorani, M. S. M., Aydi, H. (2023). Some new characterizations and results for fuzzy contractions in fuzzy b-metric spaces and applications. AIMS Mathematics, 8(3), 6682-6696. <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2023338>
25. Nazam, M., Aydi, H., Noorani, M. S., Qawaqneh, H. (2019). Existence of Fixed Points of Four Maps for a New Generalized F -Contraction and an Application. Journal of Function Spaces, 2019(1), 5980312. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/5980312>
26. Qawaqneh, H., Hammad, H. A., Aydi, H. (2024). Exploring new geometric contraction mappings and their applications in fractional metric spaces. AIMS Mathematics, 9(1), 521-541. <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2024028>
27. Qawaqneh, H. (2024), Fractional analytic solutions and fixed point results with some applications, Adv. Fixed Point Theory, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.28919/afpt/8279>
28. Qawaqneh, H. (2024), New Functions For Fixed Point Results In Metric Spaces With Some Applications, Indian Journal of Mathematics , 66(1), 55-84. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382833799>
29. Jleli, M., Samet, B. (2014). A new generalization of the Banach contraction principle. Journal of inequalities and applications, 2014(1), 38. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1029-242X-2014-38>
30. Kanwal, S., Shagari, M. S., Aydi, H., Mukheimer, A., Abdeljawad, T. (2022). Common fixed-point results of fuzzy mappings and applications on stochastic Volterra integral equations. Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2022(1), 110. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-022-02849-2>
31. Kannan, D., Ibnouf, H. I. O. (2025). Parametric metric spaces and integral-type contractions: new fixed point theorems with applications. Gulf Journal of Mathematics, 21(1), 512-521. <https://doi.org/10.56947/gjom.v21i1.3500>
32. Wahab, O. T., Usamot, I., Tijani, K. (2025). On the existence of fixed points via condensed Reich-Rus-Ciric-type contractions. Gulf Journal of Mathematics, 20(2), 344-353. <https://doi.org/10.56947/gjom.v20i2.3212>

¹ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CAPITAL UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, ISLAMABAD, PAKISTAN.

Email address: dmt211001@cust.pk

² DEPARTMENT OF BASIC SCIENCE, AL-ZAYTOONAH UNIVERSITY OF JORDAN, AMMAN 11733, JORDAN.

Email address: h.alqawaqneh@zuj.edu.jo

³ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CAPITAL UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, ISLAMABAD, PAKISTAN.

Email address: samina.batul@cust.edu.pk

⁴ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CAPITAL UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, ISLAMABAD, PAKISTAN.

Email address: d.e.shehwar@cust.edu.pk

⁵ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUT SUPÉRIEUR D'INFORMATIQUE ET DES TECHNIQUES DE COMMUNICATION, UNIVERSITÉ DE SOUSSE, H. SOUSSE 4000, TUNISIA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, SEFAKO MAKGATHO HEALTH SCIENCES UNIVERSITY, GA-RANKUWA, SOUTH AFRICA.

Email address: hassen.aydi@isima.rnu.tn