

SOME RESULTS ON THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN CONSECUTIVE PERFECT POWERS

RAFAEL JAKIMCZUK¹ *

This paper is dedicated to Professor Dr. Alfredo Novelli

ABSTRACT. Let P_n be the n -th perfect power. In this article we prove various theorems on the difference $d_n = P_{n+1} - P_n$. In the literature there does not exist an article dedicated to a general study on the difference d_n between consecutive perfect powers. This article is the first attempt to study it.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

A natural number of the form m^n where m is a positive integer and $n \geq 2$ is called a perfect power. The first few terms of the integer sequence of perfect powers are

$$1, 4, 8, 9, 16, 25, 27, 32, 36, 49, 64, 81, 100, 121, 125, 128 \dots$$

If P_n denotes the n -th perfect power and d_n denotes the difference $P_{n+1} - P_n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= P_2 - P_1 = 4 - 1 = 3, \\ d_2 &= P_3 - P_2 = 8 - 4 = 4, \\ d_3 &= P_4 - P_3 = 9 - 8 = 1, \\ d_4 &= P_5 - P_4 = 16 - 9 = 7, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

In this article we prove various theorems on the difference $d_n = P_{n+1} - P_n$.

There exist some important conjectures and results on d_n . The Pillai's conjecture establish the following limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = \infty.$$

This is an unsolved problem.

On the other hand, P. Mihăilescu (see [3], [4] and [5]) proved that the only pair of consecutive perfect powers is 8 and 9, thus proving Catalan's conjecture.

We have (see [2]) the following lemma.

Date: Received: Aug 23, 2014; Accepted: Nov 14, 2014.

* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11A99; Secondary 11B99.

Key words and phrases. Perfect powers, differences between consecutive perfect powers.

Lemma 1.1. *Let p_h ($h \geq 3$) be the h -th prime. We have the following asymptotic formula*

$$P_n = n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i n^{g_i} + f(n) n^{1+\frac{2}{p_h}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $f(n) \rightarrow -2$, $2 > g_1 = 5/3 > g_2 > \dots > g_m > 1 + \frac{2}{p_h}$ and the c_i are rational coefficients.

For example, if $h = 3$ then (see [2])

$$P_n = n^2 - 2n^{5/3} + f(n)n^{7/5}.$$

If $h = 4$ then (see [2])

$$P_n = n^2 - 2n^{5/3} - 2n^{7/5} + \frac{13}{3}n^{4/3} + f(n)n^{9/7}.$$

If $h = 5$ then (see [2])

$$P_n = n^2 - 2n^{5/3} - 2n^{7/5} + \frac{13}{3}n^{4/3} - 2n^{9/7} + 2n^{6/5} - 2n^{13/11} + o(n^{13/11}).$$

We also need the following lemmas. In the first lemma we find an upper bound for d_n , namely $2n$. We shall see that this upper bound is the least upper bound of the form An , where A is a positive real number.

Lemma 1.2. *We have the following inequality*

$$d_n = P_{n+1} - P_n < 2n \quad (n \geq 3).$$

Proof. We have $P_1 = 1^2 = 1$, $P_2 = 2^2 = 4$, $P_3 = 8$ and $P_4 = 3^2$. Consequently if $n \geq 4$ then $P_n \leq (n-1)^2$, since the squares are perfect powers. Therefore if $n \geq 4$ we have

$$P_4 \leq (A-1)^2 \leq P_n < P_{n+1} \leq A^2 \leq n^2.$$

Therefore

$$P_{n+1} - P_n \leq A^2 - (A-1)^2 \leq n^2 - (n-1)^2 = 2n - 1 < 2n.$$

Besides $P_4 - P_3 = 9 - 8 = 1 < 2.3$. □

It is an open problem to obtain a lower bound for the differences d_n from a certain value of n . However, we shall prove that fn ($0 < f < 2$) is a lower bound for almost all the differences d_n . Perhaps $d_n \sim 2n$. This is a more strong conjecture that the Pillai's conjecture.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i$ be two series of positive terms such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_i}{b_i} = 1$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i$ is divergent then we have the following limit*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i} = 1.$$

Proof. See for example [6, page 332]. □

Lemma 1.4. *Let us consider the function*

$$h(x, y) = \frac{A + y}{B + x} \quad (0 < A < B)$$

defined in the closed triangular region $D = \{(x, y) : 0 \leq y \leq x, 0 \leq x \leq a\}$ ($a > 0$). We have the following inequality ($(x, y) \in D$)

$$\left| \frac{A + y}{B + x} - \frac{A}{B} \right| \leq \frac{a}{B + a}.$$

Proof. Since $h(x, y)$ is continuous in the bounded closed triangular region D , it has (Weierstrass's Theorem) an absolute maximum and an absolute minimum on D . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} &= \frac{-A - y}{(B + x)^2}, \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} &= \frac{1}{B + x} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently the absolute maximum and the absolute minimum are in the triangular frontier.

Let us consider the segment $(x, y) = (x, x)$ $x \in [0, a]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, x) &= \frac{A + x}{B + x}, \\ h(0, 0) &= \frac{A}{B}, \quad h(a, a) = \frac{A + a}{B + a}, \\ h'(x, x) &= \frac{B - A}{(B + x)^2} > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

Let us consider the segment $(x, y) = (x, 0)$ $x \in [0, a]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} h(x, 0) &= \frac{A}{B + x}, \\ h(0, 0) &= \frac{A}{B}, \quad h(a, 0) = \frac{A}{B + a}, \\ h'(x, 0) &= \frac{-A}{(B + x)^2} < 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Let us consider the segment $(x, y) = (a, y)$ $y \in [0, a]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} h(a, y) &= \frac{A + y}{B + a}, \\ h(a, 0) &= \frac{A}{B + a}, \quad h(a, a) = \frac{A + a}{B + a}, \\ h'(a, y) &= \frac{1}{B + a} > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

Consequently (see (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4)) we have

$$\frac{A}{B + a} < \frac{A}{B} < \frac{A + a}{B + a}.$$

Therefore the absolute maximum is $\frac{A + a}{B + a}$ and the absolute minimum is $\frac{A}{B + a}$.

Hence we have the inequality $((x, y) \in D)$

$$\frac{A}{B+a} \leq \frac{A+y}{B+x} \leq \frac{A+a}{B+a},$$

and (see above) the inequality

$$\frac{A}{B+a} < \frac{A}{B} < \frac{A+a}{B+a}.$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{A+y}{B+x} - \frac{A}{B} \right| \leq \frac{A+a}{B+a} - \frac{A}{B+a} = \frac{a}{B+a}.$$

□

2. THE DIFFERENCE $d_n = P_{n+1} - P_n$ IN SHORT INTERVALS

$\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer that does not exceed x .

Theorem 2.1. *If $0 < \theta \leq 1/3$ and $0 < \epsilon < \theta$ are two fixed real numbers then we have the following asymptotic formula*

$$P_{n+\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} - P_n = 2n \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor + o(n^{1+\epsilon}). \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. We have (see (1.1))

$$P_n = n^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i n^{g_i} + f(n) n^{1+\frac{2}{p_h}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n+\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} &= (n + \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i (n + \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor)^{g_i} \\ &\quad + f(n + \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor) (n + \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor)^{1+\frac{2}{p_h}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(n + \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor)^2 - n^2 = 2n \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor^2, \quad (2.4)$$

and (mean value Theorem)

$$\begin{aligned} (n + \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor)^{g_i} - n^{g_i} &= g_i (n + \epsilon_i(n) \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor)^{g_i-1} \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor \\ &= g_i n^{g_i-1+\theta} \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon_i(n) \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor}{n} \right)^{g_i-1} \frac{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor}{n^\theta} \\ &= g_i(n) n^{g_i-1+\theta}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $0 < \epsilon_i(n) < 1$ and $g_i(n) \rightarrow g_i$. Besides we have

$$(n + \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor)^{1+\frac{2}{p_h}} = n^{1+\frac{2}{p_h}} \left(1 + \frac{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor}{n} \right)^{1+\frac{2}{p_h}} = h(n) n^{1+\frac{2}{p_h}}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $h(n) \rightarrow 1$. Equations (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) give

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{n+[n^\theta]} - P_n &= 2n \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i g_i(n) n^{g_i-1+\theta} \\
&+ f(n + \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor) h(n) n^{1+\frac{2}{p_h}} - f(n) n^{1+\frac{2}{p_h}} \\
&= 2n \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m c_i g_i(n) n^{g_i-1+\theta} \\
&+ o\left(n^{1+\frac{2}{p_h}}\right) = 2n \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor + \frac{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor^2}{n^{1+\frac{2}{p_h}}} n^{1+\frac{2}{p_h}} \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^m c_i g_i(n) n^{g_i-2+\theta-\frac{2}{p_h}} n^{1+\frac{2}{p_h}} + o\left(n^{1+\frac{2}{p_h}}\right) \\
&= 2n \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor + o\left(n^{1+\frac{2}{p_h}}\right) = 2n \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor + o\left(n^{1+\epsilon}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

since $0 < \theta \leq 1/3$ and the greater g_i is $g_1 = 5/3$ (see Lemma 1.1). Besides we can choose p_h such that $\frac{2}{p_h} < \epsilon$. $\square$

Corollary 2.2. *Note that (see (2.1))*

$$P_{n+[n^\theta]} - P_n = (P_{n+1} - P_n) + (P_{n+2} - P_{n+1}) + \dots + (P_{n+[n^\theta]} - P_{n+[n^\theta]-1}),$$

where there are $\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor$ consecutive differences. The mean difference is asymptotically equal to $2n$. That is

$$\frac{P_{n+[n^\theta]} - P_n}{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} \sim 2n.$$

Proof. We have (Theorem 2.1)

$$P_{n+[n^\theta]} - P_n = 2n \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor + o\left(n^{1+\epsilon}\right) = 2n \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor + o(n) n^\epsilon.$$

Consequently

$$\frac{P_{n+[n^\theta]} - P_n}{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} = 2n + o(n) \frac{n^\epsilon}{n^\theta} \frac{n^\theta}{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} = 2n + o(n) o(1) = 2n + o(n)$$

Since

$$\frac{n^\theta}{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} \rightarrow 1, \quad \frac{n^\epsilon}{n^\theta} \rightarrow 0$$

$\square$

Theorem 2.3. *Let us consider the $\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor$ consecutive differences*

$$d_n = (P_{n+1} - P_n), d_{n+1} = (P_{n+2} - P_{n+1}), \dots, d_{n+[n^\theta]-1} = (P_{n+[n^\theta]} - P_{n+[n^\theta]-1})$$

where $n \geq 3$. We have

$$d_i < 2(n + \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor - 1) = 2n + 2\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor - 2 \quad (i = n, n+1, \dots, n + \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor - 1).$$

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.2. $\square$

Theorem 2.4. *Let $0 < f < 2$ a fixed real number. Let us consider the $\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor$ consecutive differences*

$$d_n = (P_{n+1} - P_n), d_{n+1} = (P_{n+2} - P_{n+1}), \dots, d_{n+\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor - 1} = (P_{n+\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} - P_{n+\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor - 1}).$$

Let $k(n)$ be the number of these differences such that $d_i \leq fn$. We have the following limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k(n)}{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} = 0.$$

Proof. We have

$$0 \leq \frac{k(n)}{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} \leq 1.$$

Hence

$$0 \leq \liminf \frac{k(n)}{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} \leq \limsup \frac{k(n)}{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} \leq 1.$$

We shall prove that

$$\limsup \frac{k(n)}{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\liminf \frac{k(n)}{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} = \limsup \frac{k(n)}{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} = 0.$$

Consequently, we have the desired limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k(n)}{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} = 0.$$

First, suppose that

$$\limsup \frac{k(n)}{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} = h,$$

where $0 < h < 1$.

Therefore there exists a strictly increasing subsequence n_j ($n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$) such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k(n_j)}{\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor} = h.$$

Therefore

$$k(n_j) = h \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor + o_1(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor),$$

where

$$o_1(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor) = a(j) \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor \quad (a(j) \rightarrow 0),$$

and hence

$$\sum_{d_i \leq fn_j} d_i \leq k(n_j)fn_j = hf \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor n_j + fo_1(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor) n_j. \quad (2.7)$$

Theorem 2.1 gives

$$\sum_{d_i \leq fn_j} d_i + \sum_{d_i > fn_j} d_i = P_{n_j + \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor} - P_{n_j} = 2n_j \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor + o(n_j^{1+\epsilon}). \quad (2.8)$$

Let $q(n_j)$ be the number of differences such that $d_i > fn_j$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} q(n_j) &= \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor - k(n_j) = \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor - h \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor - o_1(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor) \\ &= (1-h) \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor - o_1(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Equations (2.7) and (2.8) give

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d_i > fn_j} d_i &\geq 2n_j \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor + o(n_j^{1+\epsilon}) - hf \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor n_j - fo_1(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor) n_j \\ &= \frac{2-hf}{1-h} n_j ((1-h) \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor - o_1(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor)) \\ &\quad + \frac{2-hf}{1-h} n_j o_1(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor) + o(n_j^{1+\epsilon}) - fo_1(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor) n_j \\ &= \frac{2-hf}{1-h} n_j ((1-h) \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor - o_1(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor)) + o(n_j \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor). \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\sum_{d_i > fn_j} d_i \geq \frac{2-hf}{1-h} n_j ((1-h) \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor - o_1(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor)) + o(n_j \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor), \quad (2.10)$$

where $\frac{2-hf}{1-h} > 2$. Let us consider the following equation (see (2.10))

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2-hf}{1-h} n_j ((1-h) \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor - o_1(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor)) + o(n_j \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor) \\ &= \frac{2-hf}{1-h} n_j ((1-h) \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor - o_1(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor)) A(n_j). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Equation (2.11) gives

$$A(n_j) = 1 + \frac{o(n_j \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor)}{\frac{2-hf}{1-h} n_j ((1-h) \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor - o_1(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor))} = 1 + o(1). \quad (2.12)$$

Equations (2.10), (2.11), (2.12) and (2.9) give

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d_i > fn_j} d_i &\geq \frac{2-hf}{1-h} A(n_j) n_j ((1-h) \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor - o_1(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor)) = \frac{2-hf}{1-h} A(n_j) n_j q(n_j) \\ &\geq B n_j q(n_j) \quad (B > 2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Consequently in the $q(n_j)$ differences there are differences that satisfy the inequality (see (2.13))

$$d_i \geq B n_j \quad (B > 2). \quad (2.14)$$

On the other hand, Theorem 2.3 establish

$$d_i < 2n_j + 2 \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor - 2 \quad (i = n_j, n_j + 1, \dots, n_j + \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor - 1). \quad (2.15)$$

Clearly (2.14) and (2.15) are an evident contradiction.

Second, suppose that

$$\limsup \frac{k(n)}{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} = 1.$$

Therefore there exists a strictly increasing subsequence n_j ($n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$) such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k(n_j)}{\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor} = 1.$$

Hence

$$k(n_j) = \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor - o(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor),$$

and consequently

$$\sum_{d_i \leq f n_j} d_i \leq k(n_j) f n_j = f \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor n_j - f o(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor) n_j. \quad (2.16)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$q(n_j) = \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor - k(n_j) = o(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor) \leq \alpha \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor \quad \left(0 < \alpha < \frac{2-f}{2}\right). \quad (2.17)$$

Equations (2.8), (2.16) and (2.17) give

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d_i > f n_j} d_i &\geq 2n_j \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor + o(n_j^{1+\epsilon}) - f \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor n_j + f o(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor) n_j \\ &= (2-f)n_j \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor + o(n_j^{1+\epsilon}) + f o(\lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor) n_j \\ &= \left(\frac{2-f}{\alpha} + o(1)\right) n_j \alpha \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor \geq C n_j \alpha \lfloor n_j^\theta \rfloor \\ &\geq C n_j q(n_j) \quad (C > 2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Therefore in the $q(n_j)$ differences there are differences that satisfy the inequality (see (2.18))

$$d_i \geq C n_j \quad (C > 2). \quad (2.19)$$

Clearly (2.15) and (2.19) are an evident contradiction.

Hence

$$\limsup \frac{k(n)}{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} = 0.$$

□

Corollary 2.5. *Let $0 < f < 2$ a fixed real number. Let us consider the $\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor$ consecutive differences*

$$d_n = (P_{n+1} - P_n), d_{n+1} = (P_{n+2} - P_{n+1}), \dots, d_{n+\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor - 1} = (P_{n+\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} - P_{n+\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor - 1}).$$

Let $p(n)$ be the number of these differences such that $d_i > f n$. We have the following limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(n)}{\lfloor n^\theta \rfloor} = 1.$$

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.4. Since $k(n) + p(n) = \lfloor n^\theta \rfloor$. □

3. THE SEQUENCE OF DIFFERENCES $P_{n+1}-P_n$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 < f < 2$ a fixed real number. Let us consider the first n consecutive differences*

$$d_1 = (P_2 - P_1), d_2 = (P_3 - P_2), \dots, d_n = (P_{n+1} - P_n).$$

Let $v(n)$ be the number of these differences such that $d_i > fi$. We have the following limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(n)}{n} = 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. If $d_i > fi$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) then the theorem is proved.

Suppose that there exist differences such that $d_i \leq fi$. Suppose that in the set $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{n_0-1}$ there are differences such that $d_i \leq fi$, where n_0^θ is large.

Now, let us consider the following set partition of the set of differences $d_i = P_{i+1} - P_i$ ($i \geq n_0$).

The first set (0-set) contain the following $\lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor$ differences.

$$d_{n_0} = P_{n_0+1} - P_{n_0}, d_{n_0+1} = P_{n_0+2} - P_{n_0+1}, \dots, d_{n_0 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor - 1} = P_{n_0 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor} - P_{n_0 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor - 1}$$

If we put $n_1 = n_0 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor$ the second set (1-set) contain the following $\lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor$ differences.

$$d_{n_1} = P_{n_1+1} - P_{n_1}, d_{n_1+1} = P_{n_1+2} - P_{n_1+1}, \dots, d_{n_1 + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor - 1} = P_{n_1 + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor} - P_{n_1 + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor - 1}$$

If we put $n_2 = n_1 + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor$ the 2-set contain the following $\lfloor n_2^\theta \rfloor$ differences.

$$d_{n_2} = P_{n_2+1} - P_{n_2}, d_{n_2+1} = P_{n_2+2} - P_{n_2+1}, \dots, d_{n_2 + \lfloor n_2^\theta \rfloor - 1} = P_{n_2 + \lfloor n_2^\theta \rfloor} - P_{n_2 + \lfloor n_2^\theta \rfloor - 1}$$

In general, if we put $n_k = n_{k-1} + \lfloor n_{k-1}^\theta \rfloor$ the k -set contain the following $\lfloor n_k^\theta \rfloor$ differences.

$$d_{n_k} = P_{n_k+1} - P_{n_k}, d_{n_k+1} = P_{n_k+2} - P_{n_k+1}, \dots, d_{n_k + \lfloor n_k^\theta \rfloor - 1} = P_{n_k + \lfloor n_k^\theta \rfloor} - P_{n_k + \lfloor n_k^\theta \rfloor - 1}$$

Note that the sequence of positive integers n_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) is strictly increasing, therefore $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} n_i = \infty$. Consequently the sequence n_i^θ ($i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) is also strictly increasing and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} n_i^\theta = \infty$. Besides $\lfloor n_{i+1}^\theta \rfloor \geq \lfloor n_i^\theta \rfloor$.

Let us consider the k -set. Let $s(k)$ be the number of differences d_i ($i = n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_k + \lfloor n_k^\theta \rfloor - 1$) such that $d_i \leq fi$. Let $r(k)$ be the number of differences d_i ($i = n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_k + \lfloor n_k^\theta \rfloor - 1$) such that $d_i \leq f(n_k + \lfloor n_k^\theta \rfloor - 1)$. Let $t(k)$ be the number of differences d_i ($i = n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_k + \lfloor n_k^\theta \rfloor - 1$) such that $d_i \leq f'n_k$ ($f < f' < 2$). Clearly $s(k) \leq r(k)$. We have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n_k + \lfloor n_k^\theta \rfloor - 1)}{fn_k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_k + \lfloor n_k^\theta \rfloor - 1}{n_k} = 1.$$

Consequently

$$f(n_k + \lfloor n_k^\theta \rfloor - 1) < f'n_k,$$

and hence $s(k) \leq r(k) \leq t(k)$. Theorem 2.4 gives

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t(k)}{\lfloor n_k^\theta \rfloor} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s(k)}{\lfloor n_k^\theta \rfloor} = 0.$$

Let us consider the k -set. Let $u(k)$ be the number of differences d_i ($i = n_k, n_k + 1, \dots, n_k + \lfloor n_k^\theta \rfloor - 1$) such that $d_i > fi$. Since $s(k) + u(k) = \lfloor n_k^\theta \rfloor$ the following limit holds

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(k)}{\lfloor n_k^\theta \rfloor} = 1. \quad (3.2)$$

Let C be the number of differences d_i ($1 \leq i \leq n_0 - 1$) such that $d_i > fi$. We have (see above) $C < n_0 - 1$. Equation (3.2) and Lemma 1.3 give (see the enunciate of Theorem 3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C + u(0) + u(1) + \dots + u(s)}{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor} \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor)}{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

That is, we have obtained a subsequence where limit (3.1) is fulfilled.

Let $x_{s+1} = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n_{s+1}^\theta \rfloor - 1$ and let y_{s+1} be the number of differences d_i in the $(s+1)$ -set such that the following two conditions hold, $d_i > fi$ and $i = n_{s+1}, n_{s+1} + 1, \dots, n_{s+1} + x_{s+1} - 1$. Therefore

$$v(n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor + x_{s+1}) = C + u(0) + u(1) + \dots + u(s) + y_{s+1}$$

Lemma 1.4 gives (see the former formula and equation (3.3))

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{v(n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor + x_{s+1})}{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor + x_{s+1}} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{v(n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor)}{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{C + u(0) + u(1) + \dots + u(s) + y_{s+1}}{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor + x_{s+1}} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{C + u(0) + u(1) + \dots + u(s)}{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\lfloor n_{s+1}^\theta \rfloor}{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_{s+1}^\theta \rfloor}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lfloor n_{s+1}^\theta \rfloor}{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_{s+1}^\theta \rfloor} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor}{\lfloor n_{s+1}^\theta \rfloor}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand we have the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor}{\lfloor n_{s+1}^\theta \rfloor} &\geq \frac{\lfloor n_{s-k}^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_{s-k+1}^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_{s-1}^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor}{\lfloor n_{s+1}^\theta \rfloor} \\ &\geq \frac{-(k+1) + n_{s-k}^\theta + n_{s-k+1}^\theta + \cdots + n_{s-2}^\theta + n_{s-1}^\theta + n_s^\theta}{n_{s+1}^\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Note that

$$n_{i+1}^\theta = (n_i + \lfloor n_i^\theta \rfloor)^\theta = n_i^\theta \left(1 + \frac{\lfloor n_i^\theta \rfloor}{n_i} \right)^\theta.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n_s^\theta}{n_{s+1}^\theta} &= \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{\lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor}{n_s} \right)^\theta} \rightarrow 1 \quad (s \rightarrow \infty), \\ \frac{n_{s-1}^\theta}{n_{s+1}^\theta} &= \frac{n_{s-1}^\theta}{n_s^\theta \left(1 + \frac{\lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor}{n_s} \right)^\theta} = \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{\lfloor n_{s-1}^\theta \rfloor}{n_{s-1}} \right)^\theta \left(1 + \frac{\lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor}{n_s} \right)^\theta} \rightarrow 1 \quad (s \rightarrow \infty), \\ &\vdots \\ \frac{n_{s-k}^\theta}{n_{s+1}^\theta} &= \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{\lfloor n_{s-k}^\theta \rfloor}{n_{s-k}} \right)^\theta \cdots \left(1 + \frac{\lfloor n_{s-1}^\theta \rfloor}{n_{s-1}} \right)^\theta \left(1 + \frac{\lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor}{n_s} \right)^\theta} \rightarrow 1 \quad (s \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (see (3.6))

$$\frac{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor}{\lfloor n_{s+1}^\theta \rfloor} \geq k.$$

Since k is arbitrarily large we have

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor}{\lfloor n_{s+1}^\theta \rfloor} = \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

Equations (3.5) and (3.7) give

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lfloor n_{s+1}^\theta \rfloor}{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_{s+1}^\theta \rfloor} = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be. There exists s_0 such that if $s \geq s_0$ we have (see (3.3))

$$1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \frac{v(n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor)}{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor} < 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}. \quad (3.9)$$

and (see (3.4) and (3.8))

$$\left| \frac{v(n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor + x_{s+1})}{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor + x_{s+1}} - \frac{v(n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor)}{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad (3.10)$$

Equation (3.10) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{v(n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor)}{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ & < \frac{v(n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor + x_{s+1})}{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor + x_{s+1}} \\ & < \frac{v(n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor)}{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Equations (3.11) and (3.9) give

$$1 - \epsilon < \frac{v(n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor + x_{s+1})}{n_0 - 1 + \lfloor n_0^\theta \rfloor + \lfloor n_1^\theta \rfloor + \cdots + \lfloor n_s^\theta \rfloor + x_{s+1}} < 1 + \epsilon \quad (3.12)$$

Consequently there exists a such that if $n \geq a$ we have (see (3.9) and (3.12))

$$1 - \epsilon < \frac{v(n)}{n} < 1 + \epsilon.$$

That is (see (3.1))

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(n)}{n} = 1.$$

□

Corollary 3.2. *Let $0 < f < 2$ a fixed real number. Let us consider the first n consecutive differences*

$$d_1 = (P_2 - P_1), d_2 = (P_3 - P_2), \dots, d_n = (P_{n+1} - P_n).$$

Let $w(n)$ be the number of these differences such that $d_i \leq fi = (2 - \epsilon)i$. We have the following limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w(n)}{n} = 0.$$

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1, since $v(n) + w(n) = n$. □

The following corollary is other version of Theorem 3.1. Note that $\epsilon > 0$ can be arbitrarily small.

Corollary 3.3. *Let us consider the first n consecutive differences*

$$d_1 = (P_2 - P_1), d_2 = (P_3 - P_2), \dots, d_n = (P_{n+1} - P_n).$$

Let $v(n)$ be the number of these differences such that $(2 - \epsilon)i < d_i < 2i$ ($\epsilon > 0$). We have the following limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(n)}{n} = 1.$$

Proof. Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 1.2. □

Theorem 3.4. *We have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d_i} = \infty.$$

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.2 and the comparison test. □

Theorem 3.5. *We have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d_i} = o(n).$$

Proof. Let $0 < f < 2$ a fixed real number. Let us consider the first n consecutive differences

$$d_1 = (P_2 - P_1), d_2 = (P_3 - P_2), \dots, d_n = (P_{n+1} - P_n).$$

Let $v(n)$ be the number of these differences such that $d_i > fi$. We have the following limit (Theorem 3.1)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v(n)}{n} = 1.$$

Let $w(n)$ be the number of these differences such that $1 \leq d_i \leq fi$. We have the following limit (Corollary 3.2)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w(n)}{n} = 0.$$

Consequently

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d_i} = \sum_{d_i > fi} \frac{1}{d_i} + \sum_{1 \leq d_i \leq fi} \frac{1}{d_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{fi} + \sum_{1 \leq d_i \leq fi} \frac{1}{1} = g(n) \log n + w(n) = o(n),$$

where $g(n) \rightarrow 1/f$. Note that we have use the well-known asymptotic formula $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} \sim \log n$. □

Theorem 3.5 has the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Let d be a difference between two consecutive perfect powers, that is, there exist i such that $d_i = d$. Let n_d be the number of times that d repeat in the first n consecutive differences $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$. The following limit holds*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_d}{n} = 0.$$

That is, any difference d between two consecutive perfect powers has zero density.

Proof. Suppose that $\frac{n_d}{n}$ has not limit zero. Consequently there exist $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\frac{n_d}{n} \geq \epsilon$ for infinite values of n . Consequently we have for these infinite values of n

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d_i} = \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{\substack{d_i=d \\ d_i \leq d_n}} \frac{1}{d} + \sum_{\substack{d_i \neq d \\ d_i \leq d_n}} \frac{1}{d_i} \right) = \frac{n_d}{n} \frac{1}{d} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{d_i \neq d \\ d_i \leq d_n}} \frac{1}{d_i} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{d}$$

This is an evident contradiction (see Theorem 3.5). Consequently

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_d}{n} = 0.$$

□

Question: Is there some difference d repeated infinite times?

Theorem 3.7. *Let k be a fixed positive integer. We have the following asymptotic formula*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^k \sim \frac{2^k}{k+1} n^{k+1} \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. If $k = 1$ the theorem is true since (see equation (1.1)) $P_n \sim n^2$. Suppose $k \geq 2$. We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i^k = \int_0^n x^k dx + O(n^k) = \frac{n^{k+1}}{k+1} + O(n^k) \sim \frac{n^{k+1}}{k+1}$$

Note that the left side is a sum of areas of rectangles of basis 1 and height i^k . Therefore, the integral approximates this sum with error $O(n^k)$ since x^k is a function strictly increasing.

Therefore we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i^k = g(n) \frac{n^{k+1}}{k+1} \quad (3.14)$$

where $g(n) \rightarrow 1$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small.

Lemma 1.2 and equation (3.14) give

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^k \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (2i)^k = 2^k \sum_{i=1}^n i^k = g(n) \frac{2^k}{k+1} n^{k+1} < (1 + \epsilon) \frac{2^k}{k+1} n^{k+1} \quad (3.15)$$

Let f be such that $\left(\frac{f}{2}\right)^k > 1 - \epsilon$. Theorem 3.1, Corollary 3.2 and equation (3.14) give

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^k &= \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \\ d_i > fi}} d_i^k + \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \\ d_i \leq fi}} d_i^k \geq \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \\ d_i > fi}} (fi)^k + \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \\ d_i \leq fi}} 1^k = f^k \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \\ d_i > fi}} i^k + w(n) \\
&\geq f^k \sum_{i=1}^{v(n)} i^k + w(n) = f^k g(v(n)) \frac{v(n)^{k+1}}{k+1} + w(n) \\
&= \frac{f^k}{k+1} g(v(n)) \left(\frac{v(n)}{n}\right)^{k+1} n^{k+1} + w(n) = \frac{f^k}{k+1} h(n) n^{k+1} \\
&= \left(\frac{f}{2}\right)^k h(n) \frac{2^k}{k+1} n^{k+1} > (1 - \epsilon) \frac{2^k}{k+1} n^{k+1}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where $h(n) \rightarrow 1$.

Equations (3.15) and (3.16) give

$$1 - \epsilon < \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^k}{\frac{2^k}{k+1} n^{k+1}} < 1 + \epsilon$$

□

In the following theorem and its corollary we prove certain simetry in the distribution of differences.

Theorem 3.8. *Let m be a fixed positive integer such that $m \geq 2$. Let r be a fixed nonnegative integer such that $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$. We have the following asymptotic formula*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_{mi-r} \sim mn^2 \sim \frac{1}{m} P_{mn} \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. Let $0 < f < 2$ a fixed real number. Let us consider the n differences

$$d_{mi-r} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

Let $w_{m,r}(n)$ be the number of these differences such that $d_{mi-r} \leq f(mi-r)$. Let $v_{m,r}(n)$ be the number of these differences such that $d_{mi-r} > f(mi-r)$. Note that the union the m sets of these differences ($r = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$) is the set of the first mn consecutive differences.

We have (Corollary 3.2)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w(mn)}{mn} = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w(mn)}{n} = 0.$$

Now

$$w_{m,r}(n) \leq w(mn)$$

Consequently we have the following limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w_{m,r}(n)}{n} = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

Limit (3.18) implies the following limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v_{m,r}(n)}{n} = 1. \quad (3.19)$$

Since $w_{m,r}(n) + v_{m,r}(n) = n$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small.

Lemma 1.2 and equation (3.14) give

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_{mi-r} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n 2(mi-r) \leq 2m \sum_{i=1}^n i = g(n)mn^2 < (1+\epsilon)mn^2 \quad (3.20)$$

Let f be such that $\frac{f}{2} > 1 - \epsilon$. Equations (3.18), (3.19) and (3.14) give

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n d_{mi-r} &= \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \\ d_{mi-r} > f(mi-r)}} d_{mi-r} + \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \\ d_{mi-r} \leq f(mi-r)}} d_{mi-r} \\ &\geq \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \\ d_{mi-r} > f(mi-r)}} d_{mi-r} + \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \\ d_{mi-r} \leq f(mi-r)}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \\ d_{mi-r} > f(mi-r)}} d_{mi-r} + w_{m,r}(n) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{v_{m,r}(n)} f(mi-r) + w_{m,r}(n) \\ &= fm \sum_{i=1}^{v_{m,r}(n)} i - frv_{m,r}(n) + w_{m,r}(n) = fmg(v_{m,r}(n)) \frac{(v_{m,r}(n))^2}{2} \\ &\quad - frv_{m,r}(n) + w_{m,r}(n) = \frac{f}{2}mn^2g(v_{m,r}(n)) \frac{(v_{m,r}(n))^2}{n^2} \\ &\quad - mn^2fr \frac{v_{m,r}(n)}{mn^2} + mn^2 \frac{w_{m,r}(n)}{mn^2} = \frac{f}{2}h(n)mn^2 > (1-\epsilon)mn^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where $h(n) \rightarrow 1$. Equations (3.20) and (3.21) give

$$1 - \epsilon < \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_{mi-r}}{mn^2} < 1 + \epsilon$$

That is, equation (3.17). Note that $P_{mn} \sim (mn)^2$. Since $P_n \sim n^2$ (see equation (1.1)). $\square$

Corollary 3.9. *We have the following limit*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_{mi-r_1}}{\sum_{i=1}^n d_{mi-r_2}} = 1 \quad (r_1 \neq r_2)$$

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.8. $\square$

The following two theorems are well-known (see [1]).

Theorem 3.10. *Let us consider the n open intervals $(0, 1^2), (1^2, 2^2), \dots, ((n-1)^2, n^2)$. Let $S(n)$ be the number of these n open intervals that contain some perfect power. The following limit holds,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(n)}{n} = 0.$$

Therefore, almost all the open intervals are empty.

Theorem 3.11. *Let us consider the n open intervals $(0, 1^2), (1^2, 2^2), \dots, ((n-1)^2, n^2)$. Let $F(n)$ be the number of perfect powers in these n open intervals. The following asymptotic formula holds*

$$F(n) \sim n^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

In this article, we shall prove a more precise theorem. Before, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.12. *Let us consider the sequence of disjoint intervals*

$$[k^2, (k+1)^2) \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \quad (3.22)$$

If n is a positive integer such that $n \geq 2$ then n^3 and $(n+1)^3$ pertain to different and not consecutive intervals of the sequence (3.22).

Proof. We have

$$\lfloor n^{3/2} \rfloor \leq n^{3/2} < \lfloor n^{3/2} \rfloor + 1$$

Therefore

$$\lfloor n^{3/2} \rfloor^2 \leq n^3 < (\lfloor n^{3/2} \rfloor + 1)^2$$

In the same way we obtain

$$\lfloor (n+1)^{3/2} \rfloor^2 \leq (n+1)^3 < (\lfloor (n+1)^{3/2} \rfloor + 1)^2$$

If $n \geq 2$ then we have the inequality

$$\frac{4}{3} < \sqrt{n}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4}{3} < \sqrt{n} &\Rightarrow 4n^{3/2} < 3n^2 \Rightarrow n^3 + 4n^{3/2} + 4 < n^3 + 3n^2 + 3n + 1 \\ &\Rightarrow (n^{3/2} + 2)^2 < ((n+1)^{3/2})^2 \Rightarrow n^{3/2} + 2 < (n+1)^{3/2} \\ &\Rightarrow n^{3/2} + 1 < (n+1)^{3/2} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

That is, if $n \geq 2$ then we have the inequality

$$n^{3/2} + 1 < (n+1)^{3/2} - 1 \quad (3.23)$$

Now, we have the inequalities

$$\lfloor n^{3/2} \rfloor + 1 \leq n^{3/2} + 1 \quad (3.24)$$

$$(n+1)^{3/2} - 1 < \lfloor (n+1)^{3/2} \rfloor \quad (3.25)$$

Equations (3.23), (3.24) and (3.25) give

$$\lfloor n^{3/2} \rfloor + 1 < \lfloor (n+1)^{3/2} \rfloor$$

□

We now prove the following more precise theorem (see Theorem 3.10)

Theorem 3.13. *Let us consider the n open intervals $(0, 1^2), (1^2, 2^2), \dots, ((n-1)^2, n^2)$. Let $S(n)$ be the number of these n open intervals that contain some perfect power. We have the following asymptotic formula*

$$S(n) \sim n^{2/3}.$$

Let $S_1(n)$ be the number of these n open intervals that contain only one perfect power. We have the following asymptotic formula

$$S_1(n) \sim n^{2/3}.$$

Let $S_2(n)$ be the number of these n open intervals that contain at least two perfect powers. We have the following formula

$$S_2(n) = o(n^{2/3}).$$

Proof. Let us consider the inequality $i^3 \leq n^2$. This inequality has the solutions

$$1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n^{2/3} \rfloor$$

Let us consider the inequality $i^6 \leq n^2$. This inequality has the solutions

$$1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n^{1/3} \rfloor$$

Consequently the number of cubes in the n open intervals $(0, 1^2), (1^2, 2^2), \dots, ((n-1)^2, n^2)$ is

$$(\lfloor n^{2/3} \rfloor - \lfloor n^{1/3} \rfloor) \sim n^{2/3}$$

Therefore (Lemma 3.12 and Theorem 3.11) we have

$$S_1(n) \sim n^{2/3}.$$

and

$$S_2(n) = o(n^{2/3}).$$

Finally we have

$$S(n) \sim n^{2/3}.$$

Since $S(n) = S_1(n) + S_2(n)$.

□

Corollary 3.14. *We have the following asymptotic formula*

$$S(n) \sim S_1(n)$$

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.13.

□

Theorem 3.15. *Let $A(n)$ be the number of perfect powers in the open interval $((n-1)^2, n^2)$. We have*

$$A(n) \leq (2n-2)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(n)}{2n-2} = 0$$

Proof. Clearly

$$A(n) \leq n^2 - (n-1)^2 - 1 = 2n - 2$$

On the other hand (Theorem 3.11)

$$\frac{A(n)}{2n-2} \leq \frac{F(n)}{2n-2}$$

□

Question: Is the sequence $A(n)$ bounded?

In the following theorem we prove that almost all the differences is not repeated.

Theorem 3.16. *Let us consider the set of the first n consecutive differences.*

$$d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$$

Let $B(n)$ be the number of distinct differences in this set. We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(n)}{n} = 1$$

Let $B_1(n)$ be the number of distinct differences in this set that appear only one time. We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_1(n)}{n} = 1$$

Let $B_2(n)$ be the number of distinct differences in this set that appear at least two times. We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_2(n)}{n} = 0$$

Proof. We have

$$1 + d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n = P_{n+1}$$

Let us consider the equation $i^2 \leq P_{n+1}$. This equation has the following solutions

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \rfloor$$

Consequently we have the following $\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \rfloor$ open intervals.

$$(0, 1^2), (1^2, 2^2), \dots, \left(\left(\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \rfloor - 1 \right)^2, \left(\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \rfloor \right)^2 \right)$$

and

$$P_{n+1} \in \left[\left(\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \rfloor \right)^2, \left(\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \rfloor + 1 \right)^2 \right)$$

Therefore (see Theorem 3.10) we have

$$\left\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \right\rfloor - S \left(\left\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \right\rfloor \right) - 1 \leq B(n) \leq n$$

That is,

$$\frac{\left\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \right\rfloor}{n} - \frac{S \left(\left\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \right\rfloor \right)}{\left\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \right\rfloor} - \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{B(n)}{n} \leq 1 \quad (3.26)$$

Now, $P_n \sim n^2$ (see equation (1.1)). Therefore $\sqrt{P_n} \sim n$. Besides $\frac{S(n)}{n} \rightarrow 0$ (see Theorem 3.10). Consequently the left side in equation (3.26) has limit 1. That is, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B(n)}{n} = 1 \quad (3.27)$$

Note that

$$B(n) = B_1(n) + B_2(n) \quad (3.28)$$

Suppose that $\frac{B_2(n)}{n}$ has not limit zero. Consequently there exist $\alpha > 0$ such that for infinite values of n we have

$$\frac{B_2(n)}{n} > \alpha \quad (3.29)$$

We have the inequality

$$n - B_1(n) - 2B_2(n) \geq 0$$

That is,

$$n \geq B_1(n) + 2B_2(n) \quad (3.30)$$

Equations (3.28) and (3.30) give

$$n \geq B(n) + B_2(n)$$

That is,

$$1 \geq \frac{B(n)}{n} + \frac{B_2(n)}{n} \quad (3.31)$$

There exists n_0 such that if $n \geq n_0$ we have (see equation (3.27))

$$\frac{B(n)}{n} > 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad (3.32)$$

Equations (3.29) and (3.32) give

$$\frac{B(n)}{n} + \frac{B_2(n)}{n} > 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \quad (3.33)$$

Equations (3.31) and (3.33) are an evident contradiction. Therefore we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_2(n)}{n} = 0 \quad (3.34)$$

Finally, equations (3.27), (3.28) and (3.34) give

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_1(n)}{n} = 1$$

□

Let us consider an open interval $(k^2, (k+1)^2)$ without perfect powers. We shall call this interval a A -interval. On the other hand, if the open interval $(k^2, (k+1)^2)$ has some perfect power then we shall call this interval a B -interval. A A -chain is a sequence of a finite number of consecutive A -intervals. The number of A -intervals in a A -chain we shall call the length of the A -chain. A B -chain is a sequence of a finite number of consecutive B -intervals. The number of B -intervals in a B -chain we shall call the length of the B -chain. In the extremes of a A -chain there are

two B -chains and in the extremes of a B -chain there are two A -chains. Therefore the sequence of intervals

$$(k^2, (k+1)^2) \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

becomes a sequence of A -chains and B -chains. In this sequence there are infinite B -chains and infinite A -chains (see Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 3.11).

Let us consider the set of the first n consecutive differences.

$$d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$$

If $d_i < d_{i+1}$ we shall call this an increasing gap. The number of increasing gaps in the first n consecutive differences we denote $X(n)$. If $d_i > d_{i+1}$ we shall call this a decreasing gap. The number of decreasing gaps in the first n consecutive differences we denote $Y(n)$. If $d_i = d_{i+1}$ we shall call this a equal gap. The number of equal gaps in the first n consecutive differences we denote $Z(n)$. Clearly we have

$$X(n) + Y(n) + Z(n) = n - 1 \quad (3.35)$$

In the following theorem we prove that the increasing gaps have density 1.

Theorem 3.17. *In the sequence of differences $d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots$ there are infinite increasing gaps. Besides*

$$X(n) \sim n$$

In the sequence of differences $d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots$ there are infinite decreasing gaps. Besides

$$Y(n) = o(n)$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$Z(n) = o(n)$$

Proof. We have

$$1 + d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_n = P_{n+1}$$

Let us consider the equation $i^2 \leq P_{n+1}$. This equation has the following solutions

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, \left\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \right\rfloor$$

Consequently we have the following $\left\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \right\rfloor$ open intervals.

$$(0, 1^2), (1^2, 2^2), \dots, \left(\left(\left\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \right\rfloor - 1 \right)^2, \left\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \right\rfloor^2 \right) \quad (3.36)$$

and

$$P_{n+1} \in \left[\left\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \right\rfloor^2, \left(\left\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \right\rfloor + 1 \right)^2 \right)$$

In the intervals (3.36) there are A -chains and B -chains, the last either A -chain or B -chain can be interrupted.

The number of A -intervals in the intervals (3.36) is (see Theorem 3.10)

$$\left\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \right\rfloor - S \left(\left\lfloor \sqrt{P_{n+1}} \right\rfloor \right) = g(n)n \quad (3.37)$$

where $g(n) \rightarrow 1$. These A -intervals form the A -chains in the intervals (3.36) where the last A -chain can be interrupted. Note that each A -chain of length L

has $L - 1$ increasing gaps and an interrupted A -chain with L' A -intervals has $L' - 1$ increasing gaps. On the other hand, the number of B -intervals in the intervals (3.36) is

$$S\left(\left\lfloor\sqrt{P_{n+1}}\right\rfloor\right)=o(n) \quad (3.38)$$

These B -intervals form the B -chains in the intervals (3.36) where the last B -chain can be interrupted. Therefore the number of B -chains will be (see (3.38))

$$o(n) \quad (3.39)$$

Equations (3.37) and (3.39) give

$$g(n)n - o(n) \leq X(n)$$

Besides we have the trivial inequality

$$X(n) \leq n - 1$$

Hence

$$X(n) \sim n$$

and (see equation (3.35))

$$Y(n) = o(n)$$

$$Z(n) = o(n)$$

Let us consider two consecutive intervals, the A -interval $(k^2, (k+1)^2)$ and the B -interval $((k+1)^2, (k+2)^2)$. Clearly there exists a decreasing gap. Consequently there exist infinite decreasing gap in the sequence of differences $d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots$ $\square$

It is well-known that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{P_n}$$

converges. This fact is also a direct consequence of the formula $P_n \sim n^2$ (see equation (1.1)).

Let us consider the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_n}{P_n} \quad (3.40)$$

Note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d_n}{P_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{n+1} - P_n}{P_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{P_{n+1}}{P_n} - 1 \right) = 0$$

Since $P_{n+1} \sim P_n \sim n^2$ (see equation (1.1)).

In the following theorem we prove that the series (3.40) diverges.

Theorem 3.18. *The series (3.40) diverges. Besides*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{d_k}{P_k} < C \log n$$

where C is a positive constant.

Proof. We have $P_1 = 1^2$, $P_2 = 2^2$, $P_3 = 8 < 3^2$. Consequently if $n \geq 1$ then

$$P_n \leq n^2. \quad (3.41)$$

Since the squares are perfect powers.

On the other hand, it is well-known the following formula

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} = \log n + \gamma + g(n). \quad (3.42)$$

where $g(n) \rightarrow 0$ and γ is the Euler's constant.

Now, we have (Theorem 3.1, Corollary 3.2 and (3.41))

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{d_i}{P_i} &\geq \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \\ d_i > fi}} \frac{d_i}{P_i} \geq \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \\ d_i > fi}} \frac{fi}{i^2} = f \sum_{\substack{i \leq n \\ d_i > fi}} \frac{1}{i} \geq f \sum_{i=n-v(n)+1}^n \frac{1}{i} \\ &= f \sum_{i=w(n)+1}^n \frac{1}{i} \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

If the number of d_i such that $d_i \leq fi$ is $N \geq 0$ then $w(n) = N$ from a certain value of n and consequently

$$f \sum_{i=w(n)+1}^n \frac{1}{i} = f \sum_{i=N+1}^n \frac{1}{i} \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.44)$$

Therefore (see (3.43) and (3.44))

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_i}{P_i} = \infty$$

On the other hand, if $w(n) \rightarrow \infty$ then we have (equation (3.42) and Corollary 3.2)

$$\begin{aligned} f \sum_{i=w(n)+1}^n \frac{1}{i} &= f \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} - \sum_{i=1}^{w(n)} \frac{1}{i} \right) = f (\log n + g(n) - \log w(n) - g(w(n))) \\ &= f \left(\log n + g(n) - \log \left(n \frac{w(n)}{n} \right) - g(w(n)) \right) \\ &= -f \log \left(\frac{w(n)}{n} \right) + h(n) \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

where $h(n) \rightarrow 0$.

Therefore (see (3.43) and (3.45))

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_i}{P_i} = \infty$$

Hence, we have proved that the series (3.40) diverges.

There exist n_0 such that if $k \geq n_0$ we have

$$P_k > (1 - \epsilon)k^2$$

Since $P_k \sim k^2$. Therefore we have (see Lemma 1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{d_k}{P_k} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_0-1} \frac{d_k}{P_k} + \sum_{k=n_0}^n \frac{d_k}{P_k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n_0-1} \frac{d_k}{P_k} + \sum_{k=n_0}^n \frac{2k}{(1-\epsilon)k^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0-1} \frac{d_k}{P_k} \\ &+ \frac{2}{1-\epsilon} \sum_{k=n_0}^n \frac{1}{k} < C \log n \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.19. *If $0 < \alpha < 1$ then the series*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_k^\alpha}{P_k} \quad (3.46)$$

converges.

Consequently $\alpha = 1$ is the least real positive number such that the series (3.46) diverges (Theorem 3.18).

Proof. There exist n_0 such that if $k \geq n_0$ we have

$$P_k > (1-\epsilon)k^2$$

Since $P_k \sim k^2$. Therefore we have (see Lemma 1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{d_k^\alpha}{P_k} &= \sum_{k=1}^{n_0-1} \frac{d_k^\alpha}{P_k} + \sum_{k=n_0}^n \frac{d_k^\alpha}{P_k} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n_0-1} \frac{d_k^\alpha}{P_k} + \sum_{k=n_0}^n \frac{(2k)^\alpha}{(1-\epsilon)k^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_0-1} \frac{d_k^\alpha}{P_k} \\ &+ \frac{2^\alpha}{1-\epsilon} \sum_{k=n_0}^n \frac{1}{k^{2-\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

where $(0 < \alpha < 1)$. □

Acknowledgement. The author is very grateful to Universidad Nacional de Luján.

REFERENCES

1. R. Jakimczuk, *On the distribution of perfect powers*, J. Integer Seq. **14**(2011), Article 11.8.5.
2. R. Jakimczuk, *Asymptotic formulae for the n -th perfect power*, J. Integer Seq. **15**(2012), Article 12.5.5.
3. P. Mihăilescu, *A class number free criterion for Catalan's conjecture*, J. Number Theory **99**(2003), 225-231.
4. P. Mihăilescu, *Primary cyclotomic units and a proof of Catalan's conjecture*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **572**(2004), 167-195.
5. P. Mihăilescu, *On the class groups of cyclotomic extensions in presence of a solution to Catalan's equation*, J. Number Theory **118**(2006), 123-144.
6. J. Rey Pastor, P. Pi Calleja y C. A. Trejo, *Análisis Matemático (Volumen 1)*, Kapelusz, 1969.

¹ DIVISIÓN MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE LUJÁN, BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

E-mail address: jakimczu@mail.unlu.edu.ar